

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
CAMDEN VICINAGE**

**IN RE: BENICAR (OLMESARTAN)
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

This document relates to:

Ronald C. & Marilyn Kennedy, Sr.

MDL No. 2606

Honorable Robert B. Kugler,
District Court Judge

Honorable Joel Schneider,
Magistrate Judge

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

Plaintiff(s) file(s) this *Short Form Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial* against Defendants named below by and through the undersigned counsel. Plaintiff(s) incorporate(s) by reference the allegations contained in *Plaintiffs' Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand in In re: Benicar (Olmesartan) Products Liability Litigation*, MDL 2606 in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, Camden Vicinage. Plaintiff(s) file this Short Form Complaint as permitted by Case Management Order No. 6 of this Court.

In addition to those causes of action contained in *Plaintiffs' Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand*, where certain claims require specific pleadings and/or amendments, Plaintiff(s) shall add and include them herein.

IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES

Identification of Plaintiff(s)

1. Name and residence of individual injured due to use of *olmesartan* product(s): Ronald C. Kennedy, Sr.

9042 Ventris Road, Garfield, AR 72732

2. Plaintiff(s) is/are a citizen of United States

3. Consortium Claim(s): The following individual(s) allege damages for loss of consortium: Marilyn A. Kennedy

4. Survival and/or Wrongful Death Claims:

a. Name and residence of Decedent Plaintiff when he/she suffered *olmesartan* product(s) related injuries and/or death: _____

5. Plaintiff/Decedent was born on 6/20/1941.

6. Plaintiff is filing this case in a representative capacity as the _____ of the _____ having been duly appointed as the _____ by the _____ Court of _____.

Plaintiff(s) claims damages as a result of:

- injury to herself/himself
 injury to the person represented
 wrongful death
 survivorship action
 economic loss
 loss of services
 loss of consortium

Identification of Defendants

7. Plaintiff(s)/Decedent Plaintiff(s) is/are suing the following

Defendant(s) (please check all that apply):

Daiichi Sankyo Defendants:

- Daiichi Sankyo, Inc.
 Daiichi Sankyo U.S. Holdings, Inc.
 Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd.

Forest Defendants:

- Forest Laboratories, LLC, f/k/a Forest Laboratories, Inc.
 Forest Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
 Forest Research Institute, Inc.

Additional Defendants:

Other(s) Defendant(s) (please specify): _____

John Does 1-10

JURISDICTION & VENUE

Jurisdiction:

8. Jurisdiction in this Short Form Complaint is based on:

- Diversity of Citizenship
 Other (As set forth below, the basis of any additional ground

for jurisdiction must be pled in sufficient detail as required by the applicable
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure)._____

Venue:

9. District Court and Division in which remand and trial is proper and
where you might have otherwise filed this Short Form Complaint absent the
direct filing Order entered by this Court:

United States District Court for the Western District of Arkansas

Fayetteville Division

CASE SPECIFIC FACTS

10. Plaintiff(s) currently reside(s) in (City, State):

_____Garfield, AR_____.

11. At the time of the Plaintiff's/Decedent's *olmesartan* product(s) injury, Plaintiff/Decedent resided in (City, State):

_____Garfield, AR_____.

12. Plaintiff/Decedent began using *olmesartan* product(s) as prescribed and indicated on or about the following date: 2/8/2012.

13. Plaintiff/Decedent was prescribed and used the following *olmesartan* products

- BENICAR®
- BENICAR HCT®
- AZOR®
- TRIBENZOR®

14. As a result of ingesting *olmesartan* products, Plaintiff/Decedent suffered personal and economic injur(ies), including, but not limited to, the following: Severe diarrhea, dehydration, weight loss, electrolyte imbalance and kidney impairment.

CAUSES OF ACTION

15. Plaintiff(s) hereby adopt(s) and incorporate(s) by reference the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand* as if fully set forth herein.

16. The following claims and allegations asserted in the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand* are herein adopted by Plaintiff(s):

- Count I: Products Liability – Design Defect (Strict Liability)
- Count II: Products Liability – Failure to Warn (Strict Liability)
- Count III: Gross Negligence
- Count IV: Negligence
- Count V: Negligence *per se*
- Count VI: Negligent Misrepresentation
- Count VII: Negligent Design
- Count VIII: Fraudulent Concealment
- Count IX: Constructive Fraud
- Count X: Fraud
- Count XI: Breach of Express Warranties
- Count XII: Breach of Implied Warranties
- Count XIII: Unjust Enrichment
- Count XIV: Violation of State Consumer Protection Laws of

the State of Arkansas.

- Count XV: Loss of Consortium
- Count XVI: Wrongful Death
- Count XVII: Survival Action
- Count XVIII: Punitive Damages
- Furthermore, Plaintiff(s) assert(s) the following additional theories and/or State Causes of Action against Defendant(s) identified in paragraph four (4) above If Plaintiff(s) includes additional theories of recovery, to the extent they require specificity in pleadings, the specific facts and allegations supporting these theories must be pled by Plaintiff(s) in a manner complying with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) pray(s) for relief and judgment against Defendants of compensatory damages, punitive damages, interest, costs of suit, and such further relief as the Court deems equitable and just, and as set forth in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand as appropriate.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff(s) hereby demand a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

Dated: 9/9/2015

Respectfully Submitted by,

Sean T. Keith Bar No. 93158
Mason L. Boling Bar No. 2014172
Keith, Miller, Butler, Schneider & Pawlik
224 S. 2nd Street, Rogers, AR 72756
Ph. (479) 621-0006
skeith@arkattorneys.com
mboling@arkattorneys.com

Counsel for Plaintiff(s)